

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,045	006,045 12/04/2001		Eric Rosen	010561	9631	
23696	7590	11/20/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ım Incorpo	orated	LELE, TA	LELE, TANMAY S		
	epartment rehouse Dri	ve	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Diego, CA 92121-1714				2684	10	
				DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicani(s)	
Advisory Action	10/006,045	ROSEN ET AL.	
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tanmay S Lele	2684	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the supplication of the supplication with the supplication with the supplication of the s	cation. A proper rech places the application	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriat fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: (see attached for response).			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	• • •		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-60</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:			
		Tanmay Lele Tele: (703) 305-34	62

Ŷ

Application/Control Number: 10/006,045

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 06 November 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that "there is no mention of using Internet or IP datagram in Maher...", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Regarding claims 1- 60, Applicant attempts to overcome the rejection by stating, "There is no mention of using Internet of IP data gram in Maher, neither in [the cited].... or anywhere else." Examiner respectfully disagrees. Note that by definition (for example, from Newton's Telecom Dictionary" 10th Edition), a datagram is "a finite length packet with sufficient information to be independently routed from source to destination." As cited in the pervious Office Action (paper number 8, page 7) Maher indeed makes reference to the above, as the systems described by Maher pertains to an IP multicast communication system, where payloads are sent between terminals based on address (column 4, lines 25 –40). Note further that the Maher's system addresses routing where a controller assigns and manages payload and controls IP multicast addresses for payload and control messages (column 6,1 lines 36 – 46). Such references are respectfully believed to be exemplified throughout Maher (another example seen

Application/Control Number: 10/006,045

Art Unit: 2684

in column 6, beginning line 62). Therefore, Examiner is not persuaded by Applicant's argument that the references when combined for the cited motivation, do not teach or recite the claimed as currently presented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tanmay S Lele whose telephone number is (703) 305-3462. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 - 6:30 PM Monday – Thursdays and on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay A. Maung can be reached on (703) 308-7745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Tanmay S Lele Examiner Art Unit 2684

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 3

tsl

November 18, 2003